| SUBJECT: (Optional)  H.R. 2889  TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)  NO.  DATE  OFFICER'S COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom both and processes)   | - 1      | R   | OUTIN   | G AND  | RECOR     | RD SHEET  |
|--|----------|---|---------|--------|-----------|---|
| To Chief, Policy Branch/PP  To: [Officer designation, room number, and building]  The Collective views of both (7.183/OS and C/C93/OTT are that OLLs proposed Tesponse to Congressman Brooks on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite compilimentary to the Agency's computer security program and not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145  To: [Officer designation, room number, and proposed Tesponse to Congressman Brooks on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite compilimentary to the Agency's computer security program and not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145  To: [Officer designation, room number, and proposed to Congressman Brooks on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite compilimentary to the Agency's computer security program and not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145  To: [Officer designation, room number, and proposed to Congressman Brooks on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite compilimentary to the Agency's computer security program and not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145  To: [Officer designation room number, on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite from the response and not detrimental to any of our to advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  To: [Officer designation room number, on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite from the response and has no additional comments.  To: [Officer designation room number, on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite from the response and host of the advise him that the compiliation of the compiliation of the advise him that the compiliation of the advise h | SI       | UBJECT: (Optional)                                |         |        |           |   |
| Chief. Policy Branch/PR  TO: IOMicer designation, room number, and building!  C/PPG  C | т 📙      |   |         |        |           |   |
| TO. (Officer designation, room number, and building)  1. C/PPG  7/365  7 |          |   |         |        | N         |   |
| Devicing)  RECIVID FORWARDED  PARTS [Nimitals to whom. Draw a line acress column of ther such comment.]  1. C/PPG  PARTS [Nimitals to whom. Draw a line acress column of the such comment.]  The collective views of both C/IS3/OS and C/CS3/OIT are that C/IS3/OS and C/ |          |   |         |        |           | DATE 30 OUTY 1985   |
| The collective views of both C/ISJ/OS and C/CSJ/OIT are that OLis proposed response to Congressman Brooks on H.R. 2889 is on the mark. It is quite complimentary to the Agency's computer security program and not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145 represents an administration position, this also is a realistic approach. They see no need to change or comment on the response.  In view of the above, I would recommend a telephone call to be to advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend a telephone call to be advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend a telephone call to be advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.  In view of the above, I would recommend to the response and has no additional comments.   | TC<br>bu | D: (Officer designation, room number, and ilding) |         | T      |           | COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) |
| 2.  REGISTAL (FILE ALKEROY EXISTS)  3.  REGISTAL (FILE ALKEROY EXISTS)  4.  REGISTAL (FILE ALKEROY EXISTS)  3.  REGISTAL (It is quite compliant to the Agency's computer security program and not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145 represents an administration position, this also is a realistic approach. They see no need to change or comment on the response.  6.  In view of the above, I would recommend a telephone call to to advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  9.  10.  9.  11.  10.  11.  11.  11.  | 1        |   | 2/30    | V85    | whi       | The collective views of both  |
| is on the mark. It is quite complimentary to the Agency's computer security program and not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145 represents an administration position, this also is a realistic approach. They see no need to change or comment on the response.  In view of the above, I would recommend a telephone call to to advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  8.  9.  10.  10.  10.  11.  10.  11.  11.   | 2        |   | 1139    |        | <i>''</i> | OLLs proposed response to   |
| 1. In the state of | 3        | REGISTRY. (FILE ALRE                              | LADY EX | (1575) |           | is on the mark. It is quite complimentary to the Agency's   |
| 5.  5.  position, this also is a realistic approach. They see no need to change or comment on the response.  6.  In view of the above, I would recommend a telephone call to to advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  8.  7.  9.  10.  10.  11.  11.  12.  13.  14.   | 4        |   |         |        |           | not detrimental to any of our equities. Since NSDD-145  |
| In view of the above, I would recommend a telephone call to to advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  8.  9.  10.  10.  11.  11.  12.  13.   | 5        |   |         |        |           | position, this also is a realistic approach. They see no  |
| recommend a telephone call to to advise him that OS agrees with the response and has no additional comments.  8.  9.  10.  10.  11.  11.  11.  12.  13.  14.   | 6.       | •   |         |        |           | response.   |
| has no additional comments.  9.  to odust him of 05 Ognementwith response restrying option to C/000  11.  11.  12.  13.  | T 7.     |   |         |        |           | recommend a telephone call to to advise him that  |
| J Called to odiush him of 05 Ogreenment with response 10.  11.  11.  12.  13.  |          |   |         |        |           | has no additional comments.   |
| 10.  10.  10.  11.  11.  11.  11.  11.   | <u> </u> |   |         |        |           | I called  |
| 13.  |          |   |         |        |           | to odust him of 05  |
| 13.  | T 10.    |   |         |        |           | restring option to Com  |
| 13.  | 11.      |   |         |        |           | He said Open to mention of 150  |
| 14.  | 12.      |   |         |        |           | to include mention of NSUO ON n   |
|  | 13.      |   |         |        |           |   |
| 15.  | 14.      |   |         |        |           |   |
|  | 15.      |   |         |        |           |   |

GPO : 1983 O - 411-632